

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

Docket -222  
POLICY & PLANNING  
BRANCH ROOM 5202

30 MAR 1993

IN REPLY REFER TO:

830-7/170043

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

Honorable Robert Walker  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

APR 5 1993  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Congressman Walker:

This is in reply to your letter of March 11, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf of several of your constituents regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

Your constituents are specifically concerned about the impact of these changes on radio control (R/C) hobby users. Enclosed is a discussion paper concerning our proposals for the 72-76 MHz band. In short, we expect there would be no adverse impact on R/C operations because of any proposal contained in the Notice.

We are, of course, sensitive to the concerns of both users of private land mobile radio spectrum and R/C hobbyists. We will, therefore, take your constituents' concerns into account when we develop final rules in this proceeding. As indicated in the Notice, we remain convinced that without significant regulatory change in radio operations in the bands below 512 MHz, the quality of communications in the private land mobile radio services will continue to deteriorate to the point of endangering public safety and the national economy.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. Very truly yours,

# Congressional



ROBERT S. WALKER  
18TH DISTRICT, PENNSYLVANIA  
CHIEF DEPUTY REPUBLICAN WHIP

COMMITTEE:  
REPUBLICAN CHAIRMAN  
SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY

STAFF IN CHARGE:  
CONNIE L. THUMMA  
WASHINGTON OFFICE  
MARC T. PHILLIPS  
DISTRICT OFFICES

**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
**Washington, DC 20515-3816**  
March 11, 1993

1247

Mr. James Quello  
Acting Chair  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Quello:

I am writing to you on behalf of several of my constituents who have enlisted my assistance.

It is my understanding that they are quite concerned about the effects of PR Docket 92-235 on model airplane use. Accordingly, I would like to take this opportunity to express my interest on behalf of my constituents and to request that their concerns be reviewed as expeditiously as possible.

Thank you for your cooperation in this regard. I will look forward to hearing from you at your earliest opportunity.

Cordially,

  
Robert S. Walker

ds  
Enclosures

also the fact counts to create more noise for the  
little sequence by splitting them into  
more than one hand which can

either use interleaving until the other  
that we have been able to show the band width  
single shot from the band noise for  
various surface frequencies in this band over for  
band noise impact especially road frequency  
at 11Hz band. This band is primarily used for passive  
car make contact sequences as in the 9s -  
all need to be able to control middle response.  
and measure the work of muscles and  
and measure the work of muscles and  
also make contact sequences for middle  
and measure the work of muscles and  
also make contact sequences for middle

2

the band plan. As a result, many landing mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly our model airplanes under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders (sometimes 100 people) and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of

I do not think it wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating condition of land mobile radio users at the expense and safety of radio control modelers. Our regards to safety the attached picture will indicate why we are so concerned about radio interference and loss of model control.

Please help us country wide to be able to enjoy a safe pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposal for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

George J. Heimberger

George J. Heimberger  
401 Dean Dr.  
Kennett Sq., PA 19348-1626

# **9TH ANNUAL GREATER SOUTHWEST FAN-FLY**

**The grandaddy of Jet rallies celebrating its ninth year brought fan pilots from all over the country. Manufacturers showed their stuff, and new speed records were set.**



OS

DOC # 311619

~~Thorndale~~ <sup>34-105</sup> ~~PAI~~  
FCC model

2-19-93

FEB

Dear Sir,

I have been reading a lot of information lately that is seriously going to hurt and possibly even eliminate a more important hobby.